


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---



Ex parte WAYNE JERALD HENSHAW,  
MAIL VAGANAM MAHENDRAN  
and  
HENRY BEHMANN

---

Application 09/621,234

---

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

---

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 27, 2007. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being electronically returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below:

37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1) (2005) reads as follows:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

. . . .

(v) *Summary of claimed subject matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

In response to the Appeal Brief filed April 3, 2006, an Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to Examiner, which included notification regarding the deficient “Summary of claimed subject matter,” was mailed on May 17, 2007. A revised “Summary of claimed subject matter” was filed on June 25, 2007. However, the “Summary of claimed subject matter” appearing on pages 2A and 2B is still deficient because it does not map the independent


claim(s) to the specification. In addition, subsections (c) and (d) of independent claim 15 include "means" language. Please see the second half of 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v) quoted above for guidance. Correction is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) for notification to appellants to submit a paper providing a revised "Summary of claimed subject matter";
- 2) for consideration of said paper; and
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
PATRICK J. NOLAN  
Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator  
(571) 272-9797

PJN:psb

Bereskin and Parr  
40 King Street West  
Box 401  
Toronto ON M5H 3Y2  
Canada